



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/527,917

10/14/2005

Eberhard Rau

3186

8573

7590  
Striker Striker & Stenby  
103 East Neck Road  
Huntington, NY 11743

09/22/2008

EXAMINER

CAZAN, LIVIUS RADU

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3729

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/22/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/527,917	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LIVIOUS R. CAZAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3729	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LIVIOUS R. CAZAN (Examiner). (3) J. ZBOROVSKY (For Applicant(s)).

(2) A. DEXTER TUGBANG (Primary Examiner). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 10 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Possible claim language was discussed that would more clearly define Applicant's invention, particularly with respect to the yoke height and the tolerance and their relationship to other structural elements. The Examiner suggested wording the independent claims so as to make it clear that the method actively requires applying the given formula in calculating the weld seam depth. Applicants to file a supplemental amendment formally for consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/A. Dexter Tugbang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729	
--	--